

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/582,700	EICHHOLZ ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Richard R. Green	3644	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Richard R. Green.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Burger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2010

**Time:** 2:40 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 7, 16, 19, 20, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. R. G./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that the incorporation of the dependent claims 4 and 19 into respective independent claims 1 and 16, amended as proposed in the proposed after final amendment of 1/20/2010, would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant indicated that an after-final amendment to the claims making this incorporation and repairing the dependencies of affected dependent claims would be submitted.